

[AMERICAS BULK TRANSPORT LTD v COSCO BULK CARRIER LTD \(THE "GRAND FORTUNE"\)](#)

Arbitration – Jurisdiction – Sub-charter evidenced by fixture recap incorporating terms of head charterparty – Disponent owner not identified in recap – Arbitration commenced by assignee of head charterer claiming payment of hire against sub-charterer – Whether valid arbitration agreement – Sub-charterer disputing identity of disponent owner – Whether arbitration tribunal lacked jurisdiction.

[2020] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 105

---

☐ [C LTD v D AND ANOTHER](#)

Arbitration – Application for removal of arbitrator – Arbitrator resigning for other reasons before judgment – Whether costs order should be made against arbitrator – Whether there were serious doubts as to impartiality – Arbitration Act 1996, sections 24 and 29 – Civil Procedure Rules, Part 44.

[2020] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 119

---

☐ [DAIICHI CHUO KISEN KAISHA v CHUBB SEGUROS BRASIL SA \(THE "SOUTHERN EXPLORER"\)](#)

Anti-suit injunction – Senior Courts Act 1981, section 37 – Undertaking given by defendant not to pursue proceedings in Brazil – Whether claimant had delayed in seeking anti-suit relief.

[2020] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 137

---

☐ [MUR SHIPPING BV v LOUIS DREYFUS COMPANY SUISSE SA \(THE "TIGER SHANGHAI"\)](#)

Charterparty (Time) – Time-bar – Charterers bringing arbitration proceedings against owners for breach of charterparty – Charterparty providing that all claims shall be extinguished unless notified in writing and accompanied by all available supporting documents within 12 months from completion of charter – Charterers making timeous claim but subsequently relying on survey report sent with claim letter – Whether claim time-barred.

[2020] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 153

---