

[JSC COMMERCIAL BANK PRIVATBANK v KOLOMOISKY AND OTHERS](#)

Conflict of laws – Jurisdiction – Ukrainian bank bringing proceedings against personal and corporate defendants for large-scale fraud – Personal defendants domiciled in Switzerland – Corporate defendants domiciled in England – Whether article 6(1) of Lugano Convention subject to “sole object” test – Whether suing personal defendants in England was sole object of proceedings – Whether stay should be granted on lis alibi pendens grounds – Whether article 28(1) of Lugano Convention applied reflexively – Whether English and Ukrainian proceedings “related” – Whether judge erred in exercise of discretion in granting stay – Quantum of worldwide freezing order – Whether freezing order should be discharged for material non-disclosure – Lugano Convention, article 6(1) and 28(1) – Recast Brussels Regulation, article 34(1).

[2020] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 269

---

☐ [TIMES TRADING CORPORATION v NATIONAL BANK OF FUJAIRAH \(DUBAI BRANCH\) \(THE “ARCHAGELOS GABRIEL”\)](#)

Arbitration – Anti-suit injunction – Criteria for grant of injunction – Quasi-judicial injunctions – Relevance of contractual time bar – Discretion – Whether condition should be imposed relating to time bar – Senior Courts Act 1981, section 37 – Arbitration Act 1996, section 12.

[2020] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 317

---